on the complaints and, therefore, they want the right to go to the Commission, but in many areas the County Attorney does cooperate and he does work with them and in these areas the County Attorney is right next door instead of 300 miles away.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, so you will not misunderstand my position, I embrace Senator Dickinson graciously 100% in what he is doing with the OEO. I tried to dismember it two or three years ago. I think it has been nothing except the plague on the back of the people which they exist. In my county, they are nothing but a bunch of trouble makers. The leaders, and that's any who take these things over, the leaders and they are raising more hell in my county than any other place in this state and they are being fostered because they have all the protection. They got the know-how. They're shrewd. They know what to do and they do it and, as far as,...I may be the only one here that is going to voice my objection to this bill in its present form. Now, I think the only way we can act intelligently, including the introducer, is to have these amendments adopted or something in order to get the bill revised and rewritten in any shape so we understand what we are talking about. I don't think anybody, including myself, now understands what's in the bill and that is understandably so.

SENATOR KELLY: I agree, Senator Carpenter, and Labor Committee agreed that the people involved in this, including the citizenry, should get together and write a proper bill and have a proper hearing so that we could get legislation that would work. This was basically the reason that it was indefinitely postponed by the Labor Committee.

SPEAKER: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: It would be my observation that I would have to agree with Senator Carpenter. I don't think he understands the bill and certainly doesn't understand the way that the Commission is intended to function. To deprive the Commission of any source of legal counsel, I think, would be to inflict a greater possibility of injustice and malfunction of the commission than anything else you could do to this bill. The intent of providing them with legal counsel, I think, is to insure that the basic guarantees of due process and fundamental process are insured and that the commission knows how to function in a legal context. The commission knows...there is no legal counsel assigned to the Commission. They have no counsel on their staff and they have to go to some other agency, public agency, to insure adequate legal counsel to make sure they don't over react to situations. I think it's a cautionary provision in this bill and to the functions of the commission and I don't think that Senator Carpenter has properly thought out the results of having the Commissioner wield his authority unchecked by any legal guideline or legal counsel, either within or without the commission. I would have to object to these amendments.

SPEAKER: Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I hear these amendments. They are very difficult to understand. I wonder if it would be possible that we could get these printed. Not adopt them first but get them printed so we could see them and have an idea what we really were talking about and then, maybe, we could intelligently vote on them.

SPEAKER: Senator Simpson, your idea seems alright to the chair. Are there any further...

SENATOR SIMPSON: I would ask unanimous consent.

SPEAKER: Well, Senator Simpson, would it be alright with you if the chair asks if there are further amendments because it